What’s in a name? Standardisation of HbA1c: a response
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We are grateful to McLaughlin and Bakker for highlighting their concern that confusion still exists regarding the reported results and nomenclature of haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) (1). The International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC) Working Group on HbA1c standardisation (WG-HbA1c) has been working for a number of years to develop reference measurement procedures and reference materials for HbA1c, and also to establish a network of reference laboratories; this work has been completed and published (2). The new reference measurement system has traceability to the International System (SI) and offers better trueness. More recently, the IFCC Committee on Nomenclature, Properties and Units (C-NPU) has been considering the systematic name of the quantity measured by the IFCC reference measurement procedure and the units according to the SI in which HbA1c should be reported (3). Finally, in a recent publication (4) the IFCC WG-HbA1c has shown stable linear relationships between results traceable to the IFCC reference system for HbA1c and previous national and regional aligned methods, allowing the conversion of clinical decision limits from one system to another [see Table 1 in ref. (5)]. It was also clearly demonstrated that the transformation based on the so-called “master equations” from IFCC standardised values to, e.g., the National Glycohaemoglobin Standardisation Programme (NGSP) aligned values adds further uncertainty to the derived values [0.05%–2% (relative percentage), depending on the HbA1c concentrations] (4).

To discuss issues related to the reporting of HbA1c in the clinical setting, in 2007 the IFCC hosted a meeting with the American Diabetes Association (ADA), the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD), and the International Diabetes Federation (IDF). The outcome of this meeting was a Consensus Statement, which was published in a number of journals (6–8). In summary, the workgroup agreed on three main recommendations. First, the IFCC system represents the only valid reference to implement standardisation of the HbA1c measurement. Second, in order to guarantee a smoother passage to the new SI units, the HbA1c result should be reported both in the SI unit (mmol/mol) and the derived NGSP unit (%) using the IFCC-NGSP master equation. Finally, as an aid for interpretation of the HbA1c results, the calculation of a HbA1c-derived average glucose (ADAG) value was suggested, but only after appropriate validation of the corresponding equation(s) (9).

Quite recently, the IFCC has also organised a meeting with manufacturers of HbA1c methods to define the requirements for implementing the Consensus Statement recommendations and a timeframe for this implementation (10). The main outcomes of this meeting were that: 1) all manufacturers should implement worldwide assays for HbA1c, giving results traceable to the IFCC reference system; 2) the deadline for implementing traceability to the IFCC reference system is December 31, 2009; and 3) all new instruments sold after January 1, 2011 should report HbA1c results in both SI (mmol/mol) and NGSP derived units (%).

Name

The IFCC reference measurement procedures measure glycation at the N-terminal valine of the haemoglobin beta-chain as a glycated hexapeptide. The C-NPU considered the correct name that should be applied to the quantity being measured; following much debate, it was agreed that the correct descriptive term should be “haemoglobin beta-chain (blood) –N-(1-deoxyfructos-1-yl) haemoglobin beta-chain; substance fraction” (3). Of course, this systematic name cannot be used in clinical practice and the C-NPU also offered for discussion the abbreviation “DOF haemoglobin”, well aware of the difficulties to standardise language. After discussion, the WG-HbA1c recommended that the old term haemoglobin A1c (abbreviated as HbA1c) should be used when reporting results for this new quantity from the clinical laboratory (2). The use of this term was agreed during both the joint meeting of the clinical societies with the IFCC and the implementation meeting with
industry (7, 10). It was unfortunate that in one publication the term A1C was used in the Consensus Statement (6), whereas in other publications the agreed abbreviation HbA1c was used (7, 8). However, the issue was only related to the journal style.

Units

In considering the units that should be used when reporting HbA1c, the C-NPU proposed that mmol/mol should represent the SI unit for this measurand (3). But, maybe more importantly, this reporting option, i.e., the use of a completely different unit (mmol/mol instead of percentage), also avoids confusion when recalculating old HbA1c targets to the new IFCC standardised values when clinical laboratories wish to implement HbA1c results traceable to the IFCC reference system (5).

Estimated average glucose

In a collaborative effort, the ADA, EASD and the IDF set up the HbA1c-Derived Average Blood Glucose (ADAG) study; this study has recently been published (9). The estimated average glucose (eAG) has undergone several name changes before and during the gestation of the study; at the time of publishing the Consensus Statement the abbreviation ADAG was the preferred term, but it was eventually considered that eAG was a better term for use in clinical practice. In all the documents, it was recommended that eAG should be used as an interpretation of HbA1c results (6–8, 10). This should be carried out after having considered the outcome of the ADAG study and its scientific evidence (9).
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